

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2024 6:12 PM

To: Licensing HF: H&F <licensing@lbhf.gov.uk>

Subject: 2024/00498/LAPR | Licensing Act - Premises Licence | Open for Consultation | 74 Fulham Palace Road London W6 9PL

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please herewith my objection the proposed licence of 74 Fulham Palace Road. I have been unable to submit online due to a re-occurring error in the planning portal upon comment submission.

Application Reference: 2024/00498/LAPR

Address: 74 Fulham Palace Road London W6 9PL

Licence Category: Licensing Act - Premises Licence

Application Type: Variation

Title: Mr

Name: Alexis Wengraf

Address: [REDACTED]

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Object

Comments:

The premises has already previously been refused extended opening hours beyond 11pm, and then refused again during an appeal in 2005.

The side door leading through a narrow passage to the rear of the property is not secure, and the owners of Tops Pizza have repeatedly failed to remedy this issue, showing lack of regard for the safety and security of the residential occupiers which rely on this shared right of access door to access their flats above.

Having delivery drivers and/or Tops Pizza staff going in and out of this door and using the rear passage will cause undue disturbance, noise and loitering. Especially as staff are regularly loitering in the outside rear area.

Noise from delivery drivers, especially motorbikes during late nights when ambient noise levels are low is a great concern, and will no doubt disturb residential occupiers surrounding the premises.

The level of cleanliness of the passage leading to the rear of the premises is deplorable, as is the area directly behind 74 Fulham Palace Road. Extending opening hours will only aggravate the lack of cleanliness.

This rear passage is often encumbered by items which should not be there, such as boxes of Tops Pizza Pamphlets or rubbish bags and boxes. This is not acceptable as it is the only fire exit for some of the occupiers of the flats above.

Staff regularly loiter and smoke cigarettes in the area behind 74 Fulham Palace Road, which would cause further noise disturbance to residents above, especially at night, when ambient noise levels are much lower than during the day.

Smells and odours emanating from the premises and/or staff that smoke at the rear could enter residential premises if windows are left open during the night.

There are no facilities for extra refuse from increased rubbish if extended hours are approved, leading to sanitary issues.

In summary, I object to Tops Pizza opening later than their existing licence allows, as it would be detrimental to the amenities of residential occupiers.

Yours sincerely,

██████████

Dear Sir/Madam,

Licensing Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/04/2024 5:38 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: 74 Fulham Palace Road London W6 9PL

Proposal: Licensing Act - Premises Licence

Case Officer: Ms Lorna McKenna

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email:

Address: [REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Residents Group

Stance: Customer objects to the Licensing Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: 15/04/2024 5:38 PM I write as chair of the SNT WP in Hammersmith Broadway ward.

The Secretary of State's Guidance states that applicants are expected to obtain sufficient information to enable them to demonstrate, the steps they propose to take to promote the licensing objectives; and that they understand the layout of the local area and physical environment including: a) crime and disorder hotspots; b) proximity to residential premises; c) proximity to areas where children may congregate; d) any risk posed to the local area by the applicants' proposed licensable activities; and e) participation in any local initiatives (for example, local crime reduction initiatives or voluntary schemes, such as 'Ask for Angela', local taxi-marshalling schemes, street pastors and other schemes) which may help to mitigate potential risks, yet it does not appear that the applicant is demonstrating any of this, and in view of the clear proximity to many if not all of the above that at a minimum needs to be done.

Certainly there are crime hotspots, extensive residential premises and child play areas in close proximity, but the applicant does not address

any of these in their submission. With the stubborn rate of crime and ASB in our ward this variation would in my view make these matters more of a challenge.
